



INSIDE

ENDORSEMENT

**Harold M. Metts** . . . . . 2  
*Rhode Island State Senator,  
Providence, District 6*

VERSE OF THE WEEK

**Psalms 19:8** . . . . . 3

ABOUT

**Capitol Ministries** . . . . . 8

# PART 4: How We Got *the* Bible



**A**S IS MY CUSTOM, during the summer recess I will provide you with a weekly Bible study via email only. This is the last of a four part series on *How We Got The Bible*. May the Holy Spirit bless your understanding of this critically important subject — how God gave us His Book. He didn't just drop it out of the sky.

We will be praying for you, that this will be a significant time in the district as you catch up on your rest and relationships. We look forward to seeing you back in D.C. in September! Enjoy the studies!

  
Ralph Drollinger

BIBLE STUDY SPONSORS

CABINET MEMBERS

- Mike Pence, VP: DC*
- Mike Pompeo, SOS: DC*
- Alexander Acosta, DOL: DC*
- Alex M. Azar II, HHS: DC*
- Jim Bridenstine, NASA: DC*
- Ben Carson, HUD: DC*
- Betsy DeVos, DOE: DC*
- Sonny Perdue, USDA: DC*
- Rick Perry, DOE: DC*
- Jeff Sessions, AG: DC*

SENATORS

- Bill Cassidy: LA*
- Steven Daines: MT*
- Joni Ernst: IA*
- James Lankford: OK*
- David Perdue: GA*
- Mike Rounds: SD*
- Tim Scott: SC*
- John Thune: SD*

REPRESENTATIVES

- Robert Aderholt: AL*
- Rick Allen: GA*
- Don Bacon: NE*
- Marsha Blackburn: TN*
- Ted Budd: NC*
- John Carter: TX*
- Michael Conaway: TX*
- Kevin Cramer: ND*
- Rick Crawford: AR*
- Jeff Denham: CA*
- John Duncan, Jr.: TN*
- Bill Flores: TX*
- Greg Gianforte: MT*
- Louie Gohmert: TX*
- Tom Graves: GA*

*Continued on last page*

### Weekly Bible Studies

**CABINET:** 7:00 AM Wednesdays, Location Undisclosed. *Light Refreshments Served.*

**SENATE:** 8:00 AM Tuesdays, Rotating Offices of Senators. *Hot Breakfast Served.*

**HOUSE:** 9-10 PM Tuesdays Capitol Hill Club, Main Dining Room. *Dessert Served.*





■ ENDORSEMENT

Rhode Island State Senator

**Harold M. Metts**

Providence, District 6



*“Capitol Ministries’ in-depth Bible studies are important because Lawmakers need the Gospel and they need the Word of God.*

*“Sometimes there is an element of resistance for Lawmakers to live out their faith in office due to what they hear about the separation of Church and State. But I always point out that The Constitution provides us with freedom of religion and free speech too, and that our nation was founded on biblical principles.*

*“Getting into the Word of God through Bible studies reminds lawmakers of their own spiritual roots and increases their awareness of Biblical principles.*

*Continued on page 5*

**I. INTRODUCTION**

**T***his study was written by, and is used with permission from Dr. Robert L. Thomas, one of my favorite seminary professors and an expert in this field. (I have converted his format into ours.)*

*In the previous study, recognition of the New Testament canon was divided into three periods: (1) A.D. 70-170; (2) A.D. 170-303; and (3) A.D. 303-397.<sup>1</sup> This study will cover the second and third periods.*

**II. TESTIMONIES FROM A.D. 170-303 ON A CANONICAL COLLECTION**

This is the period of the separation of the canonical books from the mass of ecclesiastical literature.<sup>2</sup> It was also a period of voluminous theological literature, which brings us into the clear light of Christian history. No longer was there a question about the existence of a New Testament canon; rather the focus was upon its contents though there was a general agreement.<sup>3</sup>

**A. THE MURATORIAN FRAGMENT**

The first major document in this period is the Muratorian Fragment, sometimes called the Muratorian Canon. It was first published in 1740 by Muratori who derived it from a manuscript in the Ambrosian Library at Milan. It originally belonged to the

Irish monastery of Bobbio and was found in a volume of Latin fragments dating from the eighth century. The writer of the document claims to have been a contemporary of Pius who lived in the middle of the second century. Thus, the date of the Muratorian Fragment may be set around A.D. 170.<sup>4</sup> Perhaps partially in response to the truncated canon of Marcion,

**THIS DOCUMENT LISTS THE NEW TESTAMENT BOOKS WHICH ARE TO BE ACCEPTED AS AUTHORITATIVE**

and notes certain ones that are to be excluded from such a select list. It includes 21 or 22 of the present 27. Those definitely missing are Hebrews, James, and 1 and 2 Peter. First John is possibly omitted since only two epistles of John are mentioned, but 2 and 3 John may be referred to as one epistle, in which case 1John is included. The latter possibility seems most likely, because the writer refers to the opening words of 1John. The reason for the omission of the four or five books may be the mutilated condition of the fragment. In its original state it is possible that it contained them.<sup>5</sup>

The Muratorian Fragment apparently originated in Rome. Thus, it represents the perspective of the Western church on the New Testament canon toward the close of the second century.<sup>6</sup>



## B. IRENAEUS

The names of three leaders stand out during this period: Irenaeus, Tertullian, and Clement of Alexandria. Irenaeus represents three distinct areas of second-century Christianity. He spent his earlier years in Asia Minor where he was a pupil of Polycarp who had been a disciple of the Apostle John. Irenaeus also moved to Rome and taught for a time. Later he became overseer of the church in Lyons, Gaul. Moreover, he was acquainted with the church in different areas and died in A.D. 202.<sup>7</sup>

In books 3-5 of his *Against All Heresies* Irenaeus proceeded “to adduce proofs from the Scriptures” to provide “means of combating and vanquishing those who, in whatever manner, are propagating falsehood.”<sup>8</sup> The “proofs” which make up the bulk of books 3-5 are derived mostly from the writings of the apostles. Thus, the New Testament citations far outnumber those from the Old Testament.<sup>9</sup> Irenaeus’ citations of “proofs from the Scriptures” include at least 21 of the 27 New Testament books. One source says he omits Philemon, James, 2 Peter, 2 and 3 John, and Jude, while others say that he definitely cited 2 John and possibly alluded to James.<sup>10</sup>

## C. TERTULLIAN

The second important individual at the end of the second century was Tertullian of Carthage (c. A.D.

150-222). He was a lawyer of great influence who was also a noted leader of the North African church. Most of his voluminous writings were done in Latin, but he also worked in Greek. He had a good bit to say about the New Testament canon and his word can be taken as representative of the church of that area.<sup>11</sup>

Tertullian validates the places of all 27 books except five. Four of these he fails to mention: James, 2 Peter, and 2 and 3 John. The other one, Hebrews, he does mention, but denies it canonical recognition because he says it was written by Barnabas and not one of the apostles.<sup>12</sup>

## D. CLEMENT OF ALEXANDRIA

Clement of Alexandria (c. A.D. 155-215) had been in Palestine, Greece, and Italy before settling down in Egypt. He was a well-read scholar who had studied under many masters. His wide acquaintance with church opinion is important in that he includes all 27 books except three in his New Testament (James, 2 Peter, and 3 John are excluded). The picture is not quite so clear with Clement as it is with his two contemporaries because he grants authority to a number of other books: Gospel of the Hebrews, Gospel of Mattathias, 1 Clement, Epistle of Barnabas, Preaching of Peter, Revelation of Peter, Didache, Shepherd of Hermas, Protoevangelium of James, Acts of

## ♥ VERSE OF THE WEEK

### Psalm 19:8

*The precepts of the Lord are right,  
rejoicing the heart;  
The commandment of the Lord is  
pure, enlightening the eyes.*



*God's precepts are right; His  
commandments are pure. Amen!*





John Jay



*“By conveying the Bible to people . . . we certainly do them a most interesting act of kindness. We thereby enable them to learn that man was originally created and placed in a state of happiness, but, becoming disobedient, was subjected to the degradation and evils which he and his posterity have since experienced. The Bible will also inform them that our gracious Creator has provided for us a Redeemer in whom all the nations of the earth should be blessed – that this Redeemer has made atonement “for the sins of the whole world,” and thereby reconciling the Divine justice with the Divine mercy, has opened a way for our redemption and salvation; and that these inestimable benefits are of the free gift and grace of God, not of our deserving, nor in our power to deserve. The Bible will also [encourage] them with many explicit and consoling assurances of the Divine mercy to our fallen race, and with repeated invitations to accept the offers of pardon and reconciliation. . . . They, therefore, who enlist in His service, have the highest encouragement to fulfill the duties assigned to their respective stations; for most certain it is, that those of His followers who [participate in] His conquests will also participate in the transcendent glories and blessings of His Triumph.*

— John Jay, President of Congress; Diplomat; Author of the Federalist Papers; Original Chief Justice of the US Supreme Court; Governor of New York.

John Jay, *The Correspondence and Public Papers of John Jay, 1794-1826*, Henry P. Johnston, editor (New York: Burt Franklin, 1890), Vol. IV, pp. 494, 498, from his “Address at the Annual Meeting of the American Bible Society,” May 13, 1824.

John, and Acts of Paul. From what we can tell, Clement did not make a sharp distinction between what was canonical and what was non-canonical.<sup>13</sup>

### E. HIPPOLYTUS

The Roman elder Hippolytus (c. A.D. 170-236) cites New Testament books in a way that indicates a near agreement with the list found in the Muratorian Fragment. He uses all the 27 books except Jude, Philemon, and 2 and 3 John. He considers Hebrews as authoritative, but not as Pauline.<sup>14</sup>

### F. ORIGEN

Among the fathers of the third century Origen (A.D. 186-254) stands head and shoulders above the rest. He was unrivalled in universal learning and in brilliance. He devoted his long life to a study of the Scriptures, giving specific attention to issues of canonicity. He travelled extensively and spent considerable time in each of the major centers of Christianity. At the age of 17 he became an instructor in the famous school for catechumens at Alexandria. At age 18 he was appointed overseer (or bishop) of the Alexandrian church. Later he fell into disfavor and had to move to Caesarea. Though Origen is theologically suspect in a number of areas, what he has to say about the canon is extremely helpful.<sup>15</sup>

Regarding the New Testament canon, Origen writes, “There are four Gospels

only uncontroverted in the Church of God spread under heaven. Peter has left one Epistle generally acknowledged; and perhaps a second, for that is disputed. John wrote the Apocalypse and an Epistle of very few lines; and, it may be, a second and third, since all do not admit them to be genuine.”<sup>16</sup> On the epistle to the Hebrews, he writes, that “the thoughts are the thoughts of the Apostle Paul; but who it was who wrote the Epistle, God only knows certainly.”<sup>17</sup> Elsewhere he quotes the epistles of James and Jude, but doubts their right to be called Christian Scriptures.<sup>18</sup>

### ORIGEN ALLUDES TO VARIOUS “APOCRYPHAL” BOOKS SUCH AS THE EPISTLE OF BARNABAS AND THE SHEPHERD OF HERMAS, AND EITHER QUESTIONS OR REJECTS THEIR AUTHORITY.

The books that are acknowledged in the highest sense as being Scripture include the four gospels, Acts, 1 Peter, 1 John, the 13 epistles of Paul, and the Apocalypse. Hebrews is only slightly, if at all, behind these in authority.<sup>19</sup> After deliberation, Origen seems to have endorsed James, 2 Peter, and Jude. However, his position on 2 and 3 John has been subject to debate.<sup>20</sup>

### G. DIONYSIUS

A student of Origen, Dionysius, in A.D. 265 tried to raise questions about the authorship of the Apocalypse. Though his cause was later taken up to some



extent by Eusebius, the Alexandrian church finally settled upon the canonical status of the book.<sup>21</sup> Despite the heretical challenges to orthodox Christianity during the third century, there was a general acceptance of a common canon. For instance, each side in these controversies appealed to the same Scriptures as a common ground of conflict.<sup>22</sup>

#### H. A SUMMARY OF THE PERIOD A.D. 170-303

1. Though precise limits were not yet defined, it was assumed by everyone that the contents of the New Testament were known. The writers of the third century are a little more definitive in this matter than those of the end of the second century. For example, Origen of Alexandria reflects a little more decisiveness than Clement of Alexandria.
2. Books that were not recognized, with a few exceptions, were unknown. An obvious exception here is Tertullian's treatment of Hebrews. Perhaps another would be Origen's handling of 2 and 3 John. Furthermore, there were significant differences between the East and the West on the recognition of some of the shorter books.
3. By the end of this period the apocryphal writings, including the Apocalypse of Peter, had

disappeared as candidates for canonicity. In other words, the freedom taken by Clement of Alexandria in using some of these books had vanished by the time Origen and other third century writers made evaluations.

### III. TESTIMONIES FROM A.D. 303-397 ON A CANONICAL COLLECTION

This is the period of the formal ratification of current beliefs about the canon by early councils of the church.<sup>23</sup> Some outstanding leaders were also involved in this period, but it is the consensus of fourth century Christianity as recognized by the councils that is of greatest significance.

#### A. EUSEBIUS

A look at two individual leaders will demonstrate the grass-roots origin of this consensus. Eusebius of Caesarea (A.D. 270-340) was overseer of the church in Caesarea before 315. He experienced the persecution under the Roman emperor Diocletian and witnessed the burning of many sacred books including copies of the Scriptures as a result of the edict of A.D. 303. Eusebius was the outstanding church historian of the early centuries of Christianity.

### AFTER CONSTANTINE LEGALIZED CHRISTIANITY IN A.D. 313 ONE OF HIS ACTIONS WAS TO

*Continued from page 2*

***You hope that the Holy Spirit will convict Lawmakers to apply the Word of God to their own lives and to the laws they enact.***

***“And too, the Word opens eyes just like it did for me.***


***“Years ago I read the Bible preparing to teach Sunday school to teenagers and I went from being politically correct to being Biblically correct.”***

— **Harold M. Metts**  
Rhode Island State Senator,  
Providence, District 6





James McHenry




*“Bibles are strong protections. Where they abound, men cannot pursue wicked courses and at the same time enjoy quiet conscience.”*

— James McHenry, Revolutionary Officer; Signer of the Constitution; Ratifier of the US Constitution; Secretary of War under Presidents George Washington and John Adams.

Bernard C. Steiner, *One Hundred and Ten Years of Bible Society Work in Maryland, 1810-1920* (Maryland Bible Society, 1921), p. 14.

Eusebius



### COMMISSION EUSEBIUS TO MAKE 50 COPIES OF THE NEW TESTAMENT.

Thus it was not an idle question to Eusebius as to which books belonged in this canon and which did not, and it is not surprising that he devotes much space to it in his famous *Church History*.<sup>24</sup>

Eusebius divided early Christian writings which claimed to be apostolic into three categories:

1. **The acknowledged books.** This category contained all the present 27 books minus James, 2 Peter, 2 and 3 John, Jude, and possibly Revelation. Only books with undisputed authenticity that were written by one with apostolic authority were included here. If a book failed these tests, Eusebius placed it in the disputed group.

2. **The controverted or disputed books.** This group was subdivided into two parts. The first part was composed of books which were popularly admitted, though authenticity (2 Peter) or apostolicity (James, 2 and 3 John, Jude) were in question. Thus, if 2 Peter was written by the apostle Peter, then it belonged with the acknowledged books. Eusebius held that the other four books were authentic, but questioned their author’s apostleship. Books in this group were disputed but were

used. The second part of disputed books were those definitely lacking in either authenticity or apostolicity. Books such as the *Shepherd of Hermas* and the *Epistle of Barnabas* were included here. In addition, the *Apocalypse of John* was questioned on internal grounds (style of writing). Yet, it was not lacking in external support (earlier testimony held that it was written by the apostle John). Those who questioned the apostolic authorship of John’s *Apocalypse* sometimes placed it among the acknowledged books, though others placed it among the spurious works of the second group of disputed books. Of course, those who fully accepted its apostolic authorship placed it among the acknowledged books.<sup>25</sup>

3. **The heretical books.** All of these writings opposed orthodox doctrine.

Eusebius reflects the prevailing tradition among the churches that existed before the first council ever met.

### B. ATHANASIUS

Athanasius completed the task begun by Eusebius in defining the extent of the New Testament canon. The fall of Constantine’s house and Athanasius’ position as overseer of Alexandria afforded him this opportunity. Thus, in his *Festal Letter* of A.D. 365 (a



communication which customarily set the date for Easter each year), he presented his judgment on this matter. He listed the 27 books of the present canon and had strong words forbidding the use of any others such as the Didache and the Shepherd of Hermas.<sup>26</sup>

### C. THE COUNCIL OF LAODICEA

The earliest council to act upon the canon was the Council of Laodicea (A.D. 363). Its last pronouncement said, “Psalms composed by private men must not be read in the church, nor books not admitted into the canon, but only the canonical [books] of the New and Old Testaments.”<sup>27</sup> Yet, two deficiencies of this council were:

1. It was only a small gathering of clergy from parts of Lydia and Phrygia. It was not representative of the whole church of the time.
2. Its findings did not furnish a list of the canonical books. Some sources contain what is probably an early gloss of such a list. It corresponds exactly with the list of Cyril of Jerusalem and contains 26 books. The Apocalypse is missing.<sup>28</sup>

**DESPITE THESE DEFICIENCIES, THE COUNCIL OF LAODICEA IS THE FIRST MOVE IN THE DIRECTION OF**

### FORMAL ECCLESIASTICAL RECOGNITION OF THE NEW TESTAMENT CANON.

#### D. THE COUNCIL OF HIPPO


The next several decades were marked by extensive discussion on the canon in various sections of the Christian world. The first discussion by a representative provincial council was one in which Augustine (A.D. 354-430) took part: the Council of Hippo in A.D. 393.

#### IV. SUMMARY

(Ralph Drollinger): Explained here in some detail is *How We Got The Bible*. This was the historical process wherein the Church recognized the Canon of the New Testament. Importantly, it did not create it; through this historical process of debate, sifting and reaffirmation is the settled recognition of The Book God has given to the world He created. He not only involves human instrumentalities in the saving of souls, but in the penning and canonization of His Book.

Subsequently, may the Bible hold a special, authoritative place in your heart: It being God’s Word, it follows that it should be the final arbitrator of truth, faith and practice for all areas of your life. Hold it in high regard — raise your personal beliefs, standards and policy positions to match its standards — align yourself in all ways to the

Athanasius



Hippo Regius





GET THE CAPMIN  
APP FREE AT THE  
APP STORE



All of CM's  
**BIBLE STUDIES  
& UPDATES** —  
at your fingertips!



*Making Disciples of Jesus Christ  
in the Political Arena  
Throughout the World*

**CAPITOL MINISTRIES**® provides Bible studies, evangelism and discipleship to political leaders. Founded in 1996, we have started ongoing ministries in over 40 U.S. State Capitols and dozens of foreign federal Capitols. [cm](http://cm.org)



/capitolministries



**CAPITOL MINISTRIES**®

Mail Processing Center  
Post Office 30994, Phoenix AZ 85046  
661.288.2622 [www.capmin.org](http://www.capmin.org)

immutable truths it contains. It follows that you should seriously study His Book to know them. Do not diminish its authority in an attempt to, in some way, justify in your mind incorrect beliefs, behavior and policies.

<sup>1</sup> Brooke Foss Westcott, *A General Survey of the History of the Canon of the New Testament*, 6th ed. (1889; repr., Grand Rapids: Baker, 1980), 14-15.

<sup>2</sup> *Ibid.*, 405-408; J. S. Riggs, "Canon of the New Testament," in *The International Standard Bible Encyclopedia*, ed. James Orr (Chicago: Howard-Severance Co., 1929), 1:564-565.

<sup>3</sup> Brooke Foss Westcott, *The Bible in the Church* (1864; repr., Grand Rapids: Baker, 1979), 116.

<sup>4</sup> *Ibid.*, 112-16; Bruce M. Metzger, *The Canon of the New Testament* (Oxford: Clarendon, 1997), 191-194.

<sup>5</sup> Metzger, *Canon of the New Testament*, 195-201.

<sup>6</sup> *Ibid.*, 193-194; Riggs, "Canon of the New Testament," 1:565.

<sup>7</sup> Riggs, "Canon of the New Testament," 1:565; Metzger, *Canon of the New Testament*, 153.

<sup>8</sup> Cited by David G. Dunbar, "The Biblical Canon," in *Hermeneutics, Authority, and Canon*, ed. D.A. Carson and John D. Woodbridge (Grand Rapids: Zondervan, 1986), 335

<sup>9</sup> *Ibid.*; Hans Von Campenhausen, *The Formation of the Christian Bible*, trans. J. A. Baker (Philadelphia: Fortress, 1972), 185.

<sup>10</sup> Henry Clarence Thiessen, *Introduction to the New Testament* (Grand Rapids, Mich.: Eerdmans, 1943), 22; Dunbar, "The Biblical Canon," 337.

<sup>11</sup> Thiessen, *Introduction*, 23.

<sup>12</sup> *Ibid.*; Westcott, *General Survey*, 260-261.

<sup>13</sup> Thiessen, *Introduction*, 17; William R. Farmer and Denis M. Farkasfalvy, *The Formation of the New Testament Canon: An Ecumenical Approach* (New York: Paulist, 1983), 17-18; Dunbar, "The Biblical Canon," 340-341.

<sup>14</sup> Dunbar, "The Biblical Canon," 340.

<sup>15</sup> Thiessen, *Introduction*, 18; Westcott, *The Bible*, 134-137; Metzger, *Canon of the New Testament*, 135.

<sup>16</sup> Cited by Westcott, *The Bible*, 136.

<sup>17</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>18</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>19</sup> *Ibid.*, 136-37.

<sup>20</sup> Thiessen, *Introduction*, 18.

<sup>21</sup> *Ibid.*, 19; Westcott, *The Bible*, 137-138.

<sup>22</sup> *Ibid.*, 116.

<sup>23</sup> Westcott, *General Survey*, 15

<sup>24</sup> Metzger, *Canon of the New Testament*, 201-202; Westcott, *The Bible*, 146-147.

<sup>25</sup> Westcott, *The Bible*, 150-153

<sup>26</sup> *Ibid.*, 160-161.

<sup>27</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>28</sup> *Ibid.*, 170; Thiessen, *Introduction*, 25.

BIBLE STUDY SPONSORS  
*Continued from page 1*

Glenn Grothman: *WI*

Gregg Harper: *MS*

George Holding: *NC*

Bill Huizenga: *MI*

Randy Hultgren: *IL*

Bill Johnson: *OH*

Jim Jordan: *OH*

Steve King: *IA*

Doug Lamborn: *CO*

Mark Meadows: *NC*

Gary Palmer: *AL*

Steve Pearce: *NM*

Robert Pittenger: *NC*

Bill Posey: *FL*

Cathy McMorris Rodgers: *WA*

David Rouzer: *NC*

Steve Russell: *OK*

John Rutherford: *FL*

Austin Scott: *GA*

Lamar Smith: *TX*

Glenn Thompson: *PA*

Scott Tipton: *CO*

Tim Walberg: *MI*

Jackie Walorski: *IN*

Randy Weber: *TX*

Daniel Webster: *FL*

Roger Williams: *TX*

Joe Wilson: *SC*

Rob Wittman: *VA*

Steve Womack: *AR*

Rob Woodall: *GA*

Ted Yoho: *FL*

GOVERNORS

Mary Fallin, Gov: *OK*

Tim Griffin, Lt Gov: *AR*

Dan Patrick, Lt Gov: *TX*

*The views expressed in each Bible study are those of the author, and do not necessarily reflect the position of any individual Bible Study Sponsor.*