



CAPITOL  
ministries®

DECEMBER 30, 2024

MINISTRY UPDATE ..... 2

*At Your Fingertips—Learn  
What’s Going On at CapMin  
in the U.S. and Around  
the World with the NEW  
CapMin App!*

VERSE OF THE WEEK ..... 3

*Luke 24:32*

FROM OUR FOUNDING FATHERS

*Robert Treat Paine ..... 5*

*William Samuel Johnson ..... 6*

ABOUT CAPITOL MINISTRIES ..... 8



POWERED BY LOGOS BIBLE SOFTWARE



FOCUSED ON THE WORD

# Hermeneutics: Can You Make the Bible Say What You Want?



**H**ow many times have you heard someone respond to your biblically based reasoning with, “Well, that’s a matter of your own interpretation”? How do you respond when someone tries to neutralize your authoritative use of the Bible? How should you respond? Perhaps you’ve even given up using the Bible authoritatively because of this feedback. This study should encourage and help you if that is the case.

At the risk of sounding like a “smarty-pants,” I typically ask a question in a loving response: “So, to what school of biblical interpretation do you subscribe?”

*Continued next page*

CURRENT AND FORMER GOVERNORS

- Phil Bryant* MS
- Mike Dunleavy* AK
- Greg Gianforte* MT
- Sarah Huckabee Sanders* AR
- Sonny Perdue* GA
- Rick Perry* TX
- Jim Pillen* NE
- Tate Reeves* MS
- Kim Reynolds* IA
- Kevin Stitt* OK
- Scott Walker* WI
- Glenn Youngkin* VA

SENATORS

- Marsha Blackburn* TN
- Mike Braun* IN
- Katie Britt* AL
- Ted Budd* NC
- Bill Cassidy* LA
- Kevin Cramer* ND
- Steven Daines* MT
- Joni Ernst* IA
- William Hagerty* TN
- Cindy Hyde-Smith* MS
- James Lankford* OK
- Markwayne Mullin* OK
- Mike Rounds* SD
- Tim Scott* SC
- John Thune* SD

REPRESENTATIVES

- Mark Alford* MO
- Robert Aderholt* AL
- Rick Allen* GA
- Brian Babin* TX
- Don Bacon* NE
- Michael Bost* IL
- Josh Brecheen* OK
- Michael Conaway* TX
- Rick Crawford* AR
- Jake Ellzey* TX
- Ron Estes* KS
- Glenn Grothman* WI
- Kevin Hern* OK
- Richard Hudson* NC
- Bill Huizenga* MI
- Dusty Johnson* SD
- Jim Jordan* OH
- Doug Lamborn* CO
- Nathaniel Moran* TX
- Gary Palmer* AL
- August Pfluger* TX
- Bill Posey* FL
- David Rouzer* NC
- John Rutherford* FL
- Glenn Thompson* PA
- Tim Walberg* MI
- Randy Weber* TX
- Daniel Webster* FL
- Bruce Westerman* AR
- Brandon Williams* NY
- Roger Williams* TX
- Joe Wilson* SC
- Rob Wittman* VA
- Steve Womack* AR
- Rudy Yakym* IN
- Ted Yoho* FL

**WEEKLY BIBLE STUDIES**

- Governors:** Fridays 9am (EST), Zoom
- Former Cabinet Members / White House Senior Staff:** Fridays 9am (EST), Zoom
- Senate Members:** Tuesdays 8am, rotating offices, hot breakfast served
- House Members:** Thursdays 8am, AG Hearing Rm., Longworth 1302, hot breakfast served

*The views expressed in each Bible Study are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the position of any individual Bible Study sponsor.*



## MINISTRY UPDATE

### At Your Fingertips— Learn What’s Going On at CapMin in the U.S. and Around the World with the NEW CapMin App!



Capitol Ministries recently released the updated and improved version of the CapMin App which provides supporters access to our website’s many features and assets. Available at the App Store and Google Play, the app provides supporters with access to hundreds of theologically sound Bible studies and feature stories about CapMin ministries worldwide. You may read our most recent annual report and our complete statement of faith. You may access this week’s nation-wide radio program and listen to

*Continued on page 4*

Understanding the five major schools of biblical interpretation is an important study to gain wisdom and better insight into another’s approach to Scripture—and how so often it affects personal beliefs and policy positions. Read on, beloved.



Ralph Drollinger

### I. INTRODUCTION

*Hermeneutics* is the art and science of scriptural interpretation. In my years of ministry, I have discovered that most people do not even realize there are differing schools of biblical interpretation, let alone understand them. In this study I will attempt to educate you on today’s major hermeneutical schools and help you gain a rudimentary understanding of each. After all, how you interpret Scripture shapes your worldview. For public servants, worldview is crucial because it informs the construct of policy formation! This study is, therefore, foundational to your wisdom quotient and your ability to properly ascertain why people think the way they do.

Hermeneutics determines the methods, techniques, rules and principles that the student of the Bible incorporates in order to arrive at his understanding of the following:

#### What does the Bible mean by what it says?

The science and art of hermeneutics attempts to answer this vital question. Likened to a proper exegesis of the United States Constitution, a learned and practiced discipline of hermeneutics needs to exist to be effective and congruous in discovering what the author of a particular book meant by what he said.

During the Supreme Court nomination process, the words *originalist* and *textualists* are often discussed and defined. Justices who hold to this philosophy of interpreting the U.S. Constitution are disciplined to discover authorial intent relative to the written matter, i.e., understanding what the author meant by what the author said or wrote is the goal of originalists/textualists. In a similar way, Bible interpreters in the same camp are those who ascribe to the Grammatical-Historical-Normative (GHN) school of biblical interpretation. They are intent on discovering what the Bible author meant by what he recorded. This school of biblical interpretation will be the final one explained in this study.

Now add to this explanation our past studies of the book of Proverbs. You may remember that Solomon often categorized people into three general groups when it came to wisdom (or a lack thereof): the simpletons, the scoffers, and the wise. As you study what follows, can you apply those monikers of distinction to the lives of those who subscribe to each of these hermeneutical schools? Keep that thought in mind as you work through this study.





The background of the word *hermeneutics* is quite interesting. Hermes was the Greek god who allegedly interpreted the message of the gods to mortals. Lest one think the word or idea of interpretation is a purely secular derivative, the word is used of Christ Himself in Luke 24:27:

*Then beginning with Moses and with all the prophets, He explained to them the things concerning Himself in all the Scriptures.*

In this passage, the English word *explained* is the Greek word *hermeneuo* meaning “to interpret.” It comes from the compound term *diermeneuo*.

Christ, the master of hermeneutics, the greatest interpreter of Old Testament (OT) Scripture, is talking to two disciples on the Emmaus Road. As He interprets from the OT the things concerning Himself, their hearts became enlivened and illuminated because of the Interpreter’s bringing the Scriptures alive to them (Luke 24:32):

*They said to one another, “Were not our hearts burning within us while He was speaking to us on the road, while He was explaining the Scriptures to us?”*

This passage serves to illustrate a profound introductory point. When proper hermeneutics is used sensitively by the Spirit-led believer, likened to Christ, vital life- and policy-changing results can occur in the lives of those with whom he comes into contact—both on the floor and throughout life. Conversely, to misinterpret Scripture is to void it of its self-proclaiming power per Hebrews 4:12:

*For the word of God is living and active and sharper than any two-edged sword, and piercing as far as the division of soul and spirit, of both joints and marrow,*

*and able to judge the thoughts and intentions of the heart.*

Hermeneutics is therefore a very important subject. A person’s hermeneutic will determine his worldview, or conversely, as is often the case with unbelievers’ attempting to do what is right in their own eyes, their worldview will determine their approach/hermeneutic to Scripture.

What follows is an evaluation of the five leading schools of hermeneutics. I will try to simplify and summarize each as best as possible for quick and easy understanding by analyzing each in a similar way: a quick overview, the history, an evaluation, and a summary. Can you identify which hermeneutic someone you may know is incorporating to justify an opinion? This study should aid in your ability to be more discerning. Of the five, I will save the best for last.

## II. THE ALLEGORICAL SCHOOL

### A. OVERVIEW

The Allegorical school of biblical interpretation regards the literal, grammatical, and historical sense of a passage as a mere starting point for discovering the presumed hidden meaning of the text, which is often thought to be deeper, more profound, and more spiritual. Herein it is believed that beneath the letter or the obvious, lies the real meaning. In his analysis and conclusions regarding this approach to understanding the Bible, A.B. Mickelsen says, “What the original writer [of Scripture] is trying to say is ignored. What the interpreter wants to say becomes the only important factor.”<sup>1</sup> He goes on to say, rightfully so, that “Allegorizing is like a fog which at first renders objects indistinct and then finally blots them out altogether.”<sup>2</sup> It is accurate to summarily state that in those who utilize

VERSE OF THE WEEK



Luke 24:32

*“Were not our hearts burning within us while He was speaking to us on the road, while He was explaining the Scriptures to us?”*



When proper hermeneutics is used sensitively by the Spirit-led believer, likened to Christ, vital life- and policy-changing results can occur in the lives of those with whom he comes into contact—both on the floor and throughout life.



Continued from page 2

host Ralph Drollinger and Frank Sontag, professional on-air personality and long-time prime-time Christian radio show host, discuss the current Bible study being taught to national leaders in Washington, D.C., and talk about current events from a Biblical perspective. You can learn how to become a Local Government Ministries leader to city and county office holders in your neighborhood, and how to get involved in our mission to create disciples of Jesus Christ in the political arena throughout the world. You may request your free copy of *All in Authority: Reigniting the Bible's Top-Down Missions Strategy*, directly through the app, and much more.

The app is easy to retrieve. Open the App Store on your iPhone or iPad, search for "CapMin," and then click "get" to download. The Android version is available on Google Play.

"Our mission is to reach the world's public servants with the Gospel of Jesus Christ," said Ralph Drollinger, president and founder of Capitol Ministries. "This app is another way to serve them and our ministry partners by making our resources readily accessible."

this method of understanding God's Word, "imagination replaces observation."<sup>3</sup> The interpreter is reading in his understanding of the passage. Such an approach leads to a much higher degree of subjectivity in understanding God's Word compared to the Grammatical-Historical-Normative discipline of interpretation (number 5 in this outline).

### B. HISTORY

Many historic schools are allegorical in nature: Greek, Jewish, Patristic (early church fathers), and Catholic. One leading proponent of allegorical interpretation was Philo (20 B.C. to A.D. 54), who sought to give Scripture charm for unbelieving minds by discarding literal details that to him seemed offensive. He accomplished this intention via allegorizing those texts. Later, Christians applied Philo's principles in their own times. Some Patristic allegorists include Clement of Alexandria, Origen, and even Augustine.

### C. EVALUATION

1. It is subjective; each man is a law unto himself.
2. It is rationalistic; the Scriptures are manipulated to suit man's reason.
3. It obscures Scripture by imposing eisegesis<sup>4</sup> (reading in) in place of exegesis<sup>5</sup> (determining authorial intent).

### D. SUMMARY

Allegorists distort plain passages by reading in meanings which have no valid connection with the obvious, literal sense.

**Allegorists deem the interpreter of Scripture the authority,**

**rather than the Author of Scripture.**

Allegory is *imposition* in place of exposition. Does the Bible really mean what the interpreter *thinks* it says?

## III. THE LIBERAL SCHOOL

### A. INTRODUCTION

Typically, the person who poses the retort, "But that's a matter of your own interpretation" subscribes to this interpretive approach.

This view holds that human intellect is adequate to select between what is acceptable and what is erroneous in Scripture. The Theological Liberal approach to Bible interpretation presumes that Scripture can be true only when it harmonizes with man's reason; final authority is, therefore, seated in man. Hence, "The final and supreme authority is transferred from God to the throne-room of the human mind."<sup>6</sup>

Approximately 35 "Christian" denominations subscribe to this hermeneutic. Nationally and internationally The National Council of Churches and The World Council of Churches adhere to this interpretive approach.

### B. HISTORY

Systems of men such as Thomas Hobbes and Baruch Spinoza, F.C. Baur and the Tubingen School of criticism are responsible for birthing this hermeneutic relatively late in church history. Albert Schweitzer and J. M. Robinson on the quest for the historical Jesus have also fostered this viewpoint. Many others in early America, such as H. E. Fosdick and other purveyors of the Social Gospel movement bear responsibility as well.





**Liberal hermeneutics grew in unison with the Social Gospel movement, aka Theological Liberalism.**

### C. EVALUATION

1. It is rationalistic.
2. Inspiration and supernatural are both redefined. For example, since the mind of man cannot explain miracles, the miracles of the Bible must therefore be discounted.
3. The presupposition of human accommodation redefines, if not erases, much historically accepted Bible doctrine.

### D. SUMMARY

With a liberal hermeneutic, man quickly and arrogantly becomes the judge of Scripture versus Scripture's being the judge of man.

## IV. THE NEO-ORTHODOX SCHOOL

### A. INTRODUCTION

Neo-orthodoxy is an interpretive approach which denies propositional, objective, authoritative revelation. In justification of that premise, proponents of this school believe that the Bible is only infallible when revelation was given to the writers of the Bible, i.e., when God actually spoke it. And in its forward look, inspiration then only occurs subjectively "when God speaks to you through it now." It follows that Neo-orthodoxy states that the Bible has instrumental authority because it is an instrument pointing to Christ, but it does not have inherent authority.

### B. HISTORY

Recognizable names associated with the germination of Neo-orthodoxy include Karl Barth (since its inception). Now splintered into several movements, other names associated with it are Emil Brunner and Reinhold Niebuhr.

### C. EVALUATION

1. Neo-orthodoxy denies the Bible is the Word of God and claims it becomes the Word of God when God speaks to a man, and he responds.
2. Only that part of the Bible which witnesses to Christ is binding, and the seat of authority for deciding this is in man's mind.
3. Many Bible episodes are treated mythologically, i.e., as teaching serious theological principles but not as having literally occurred.

### D. SUMMARY

In essence, this school ends up destroying objective spiritual experience because of being based on (by their own doing) an unworthy, unreliable book.

**Keep in mind that both Theological Liberalism and Neo-orthodoxy were founded and based upon supposedly biblically contradictory archaeological and scientific evidence of several hundred years ago. These schools were germinated from false premises.**

### Robert Treat Paine



**"I believe the Bible to be the written word of God and to contain in it the whole rule of faith and manners."**

— Robert Treat Paine, signer of the Declaration of Independence, Attorney General of Massachusetts, judge, military chaplain.

Robert Treat Paine, *The Papers of Robert Treat Paine*, Stephen T. Riley and Edward W. Hanson, editors (Boston: Massachusetts Historical Society, 1992), Vol. I, 49, Robert Treat Paine's Confession of Faith, 1749.





*William Samuel Johnson*



These respective disciplines and their discoveries have validated biblical accuracy time and time again. Accordingly, these two interpretive approaches were formulated in and from a seedbed of what has since been deemed outdated and myopic research. The rug has been pulled out from under them. A rough parallel is illustrated by the discipline of geography: who would be deemed credible today if he postulated and extrapolated religious beliefs based upon a flat-earth presupposition?

### V. THE DEVOTIONAL SCHOOL

#### A. INTRODUCTION

This view regards the Bible as a rich book primarily given to nourish the spiritual life of the believer. Emphasis is placed on the edifying aspect of Scripture.

#### B. HISTORY

Many are those who reduce Scripture primarily to a “What’s in it for me today?” book of devotions. Among them are Medieval Mystics, Pietists, Puritans, Quakers and familiar, specific names such as John Wesley, Matthew Henry, F. B. Meyer, and A. W. Tozer.

To develop but one, the Pietists Movement was a reaction to cold, stale, dead doctrine in the late 1600s and early 1700s centered in reaction to German Lutheranism.

#### C. EVALUATION

1. In essence, the Devotional school seeks application, which is essential and desired.
2. There are dangers in abuse—parachuting in on only certain segments of the whole counsel of God; therefore, a

balance between the whole of Scripture and isolated application is essential. Abuses include allegorizing, excessive typology,<sup>7</sup> and neglect of prior doctrinal bases. In simplicity, a person can isolate a passage in disregard for context, thereby misinterpreting the authorial meaning.

3. The overwhelming persuasiveness of doctrinal constructs gained through an in-depth study of God’s Word can be lost in terms of soul sanctification. A high carb diet is thought to build spiritual musculature over and above a high protein diet.

#### D. SUMMARY

These writers tend to pass over technical problems, difficult passages, and doctrinal emphases in exchange for securing a quick applicable thought that might further godliness in the life of the individual. Devotional hermeneutics tends to underemphasize—if not denigrate—scholarship for the gain of a quickly digestible, sweet, edifying idea. In terms of public servants and the need for scriptural bases in the formulation of good policy, the country is in dire need of biblical scholars who have a deep grasp of the whole counsel of God.

**Do you aspire to be a man or a woman of God who changes the course of our nation? If so, you need more than a devotional diet of the Word of God!**

Also stemming from this minimalistic approach to understanding God’s Word, the door is often flung wide open to eisegeti-

**“Adore Jehovah, therefore, as your God and your Judge. Love, fear, and serve Him as your Creator, Redeemer, and Sanctifier. Acquaint yourselves with Him in His word and holy ordinances.”**

— William Samuel Johnson, signer of the Constitution, member of the Continental Congress, judge, framer of the Bill of Rights, President of Columbia College, U.S. Senator.

E. Edwards Beardsley, *Life and Times of William Samuel Johnson* (Boston: Houghton, Mifflin and Company, 1886), 141–145.





cal and typological forms of interpretation. In contrast, the Apostle Paul said in Acts 20:27: “I did not shrink from declaring to you the whole purpose of God.” Paul endeavored to get the big picture, and so should we.

**Likened to the athlete who tends to habitually consume candy bars alone for quick energy, discounting a balanced, high-protein diet, an edifying only approach to Scripture—does not benefit the aspiring man or woman of God over a long period of time, neither in personal edification nor in policy formation.**

## VI. THE GRAMMATICAL, HISTORICAL, NORMATIVE SCHOOL

### A. INTRODUCTION

In this last school, the meaning of a passage of Scripture is determined by what was deemed to be the basic, customary, socially acknowledged designation of the terms used at the time they were penned. The literal sense is the basic meaning evidenced by the grammatical and historical factors at the time of authorship.

It is important to note what this school is not. It is not characterized by Letterism, or a Wooden Literalism.<sup>8</sup> Rather, it allows for the author to utilize figures of speech, such as parables, metaphors, hyperbole, irony, euphemisms, paronomasia, proverbs, personification, oxymorons, etc. In Latin this utilization is referred to as *usus loquendi*, i.e., the semantics within a speech culture. In his classic textbook

on hermeneutics, *Protestant Biblical Interpretation: A Textbook of Hermeneutics*, Bernard Ramm calls this “the literal stratum of language.”<sup>9</sup> The GHN school of hermeneutics recognizes, and has in its mind, the existence of the literal stratum and use of language and attempts to deduce authorial meaning by the author’s use of figures of speech, parables, metaphors, etc.

### B. HISTORY

Ezra, the Jews of Palestine, and Christ all incorporate this discipline as evidenced from and within Scripture itself. John Chrysostom, Martin Luther, and John Calvin ascribed to the GHN hermeneutic. Ezra, in particular, is the first OT example of such. The Jews had been exiled long enough in Babylonia to have lost their native tongue; they were now speaking Aramaic. Ezra therefore assembled the Hebrew people and explained the real, intended meaning of the OT text to them. Later, and in church history, the exegetical (lit. “to exit from”) approach to interpretation set the stage for the Reformation, as Calvin and Luther explicated what was actually in the Greek New Testament, which had recently become available to the common man via the invention of the printing press.

### C. EVALUATION

1. This is the usual practice of interpretation of literature. Again, a Supreme Court Justice who applies this approach to constitutional interpretation is known as an “originalist/textualist.” To the contrary, a judge who reads his or her views into the document is deemed an “activist.”

**In essence, the activist Bible interpreter or today’s activist judge believes he knows better than the author.**

2. A large part of the Bible makes sense this way.
3. It exercises a control on the imagination.

### D. SUMMARY

“That is the true method of interpretation which puts Scripture alongside of Scripture in a right and proper way.”<sup>10</sup> “It is the first business of an interpreter to let his author say what he does say, instead of attributing to him what we think he ought to say.”<sup>11</sup>

## VII. CONCLUSION

The Grammatical-Historical-Normative school of hermeneutics needs to be the thinking person’s choice. This school allows the Bible to be innocent until proven guilty and to be objective versus subjective. The GHN school of interpretation is the usual practice of interpreting secular (both present and past) literature. This school is the only one with a controlling force over eisegesis—man’s imagination foisted onto the Bible.

**Whereas the Allegorical, Liberal, and Neo-orthodox schools of hermeneutics are the children of scoffers, the Devotional school is the brother of simpletons; only the Grammatical-Historical-Normative school is the father of the wise.**



## Hermeneutics: Can You Make the Bible Say What You Want?

When a friend or fellow public servant quips, “That is a matter of your own interpretation,” ask to what hermeneutical school he subscribes—and be prepared to debate the merits or lack thereof.

1. A. B. Mickelsen, *Interpreting the Bible* (Grand Rapids: Eerdmans Publishing Co, 1963), 28.
2. *Ibid.*, 37.
3. James E. Rosscup, “Hermeneutics Syllabus” (unpublished manuscript, rev. April 1999), typescript. (Some of this study has stemmed from this godly man’s work.)
4. Eisegesis: the interpretation of a text (as of the Bible) by reading into it one’s own, (*The Merriam-Webster Dictionary*).
5. Exegesis: to explain, to interpret, determining authorial intent; a critical explanation of a text or portion of Scripture, (*The Merriam-Webster Dictionary*).
6. Rosscup, 41.
7. “[It] differs from a symbol or an allegory. It is a representation of an actual, historical reference. Often it relates to analogous fulfillment in Christ of OT stories and parallels. This was a very popular approach to interpretation in the Middle Ages.”
8. Bernard Ramm, *Protestant Biblical Interpretation* (Grand Rapids: Baker, 1970), 123–27.
9. *Ibid.*, 35.
10. Martin Luther, *Works of Martin Luther: The Philadelphia Edition*, vol. III (Baker Book House, 1982), 334.
11. John Calvin, *Commentary on Romans* (Morrisville, N.C.: Lulu Enterprises, Inc., 2005), 334.



*Making Disciples  
of Jesus Christ  
in the Political Arena  
Throughout the World*



Capitol Ministries provides Bible studies, evangelism, and discipleship to political leaders.

Founded in 1996, Capitol Ministries has started ongoing ministries in more than forty U.S. state Capitols and dozens of foreign federal Capitols.

Capitol Ministries

Mail Processing Center  
Post Office 30994  
Phoenix, AZ 85046  
661.288.2622  
capmin.org

© 2024 Capitol Ministries  
All rights reserved.



/capitolministries

### ESTABLISHED MINISTRIES: UNITED STATES

Albany NEW YORK • Annapolis MARYLAND • Atlanta GEORGIA • Augusta MAINE • Austin TEXAS • Bismarck NORTH DAKOTA • Boise IDAHO  
Boston MASSACHUSETTS • Denver COLORADO • Dover DELAWARE • Carson City NEVADA • Charleston WEST VIRGINIA • Cheyenne WYOMING  
Columbia SOUTH CAROLINA • Columbus OHIO • Concord NEW HAMPSHIRE • Des Moines IOWA • Hartford CONNECTICUT  
Harrisburg PENNSYLVANIA • Helena MONTANA • Honolulu HAWAII • Jefferson City MISSOURI • Juneau ALASKA • Lansing MICHIGAN  
Lincoln NEBRASKA • Little Rock ARKANSAS • Madison WISCONSIN • Montgomery ALABAMA • Montpelier VERMONT  
Nashville TENNESSEE • Oklahoma City OKLAHOMA • Olympia WASHINGTON • Phoenix ARIZONA • Providence RHODE ISLAND  
Raleigh NORTH CAROLINA • Richmond VIRGINIA • Sacramento CALIFORNIA • Saint Paul MINNESOTA • Salem OREGON  
Santa Fe NEW MEXICO • Springfield ILLINOIS • Topeka KANSAS • Trenton NEW JERSEY

### ESTABLISHED MINISTRIES: INTERNATIONAL

Brussels BELGIUM • Porto Novo BENIN • Brasilia BRAZIL • Ouagadougou BURKINA FASO • Gitega BURUNDI • Yaounde CAMEROON • Manitoba CANADA  
Bangui CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC • San Jose COSTA RICA • Yamoussoukro COTE D'IVOIRE • Kinshasa DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO  
Quito ECUADOR • Malabo EQUATORIAL GUINEA • Mbabane ESWATINI • Addis Ababa ETHIOPIA • Brussels EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT  
Helsinki FINLAND • Suva FIJI • Libreville GABON • Strasbourg GERMANY • Accra GHANA • Conakry GUINEA • Port-au-Prince HAITI  
Tegucigalpa HONDURAS • New Delhi INDIA • Rome ITALY • Nairobi KENYA • Riga LATVIA • Monrovia LIBERIA • Vilnius LITHUANIA  
Antananarivo MADAGASCAR • Lilongwe MALAWI • Mexico City MEXICO • Chisinau MOLDOVA • Maputo MOZAMBIQUE • Nauru • Kathmandu NEPAL  
Wellington NEW ZEALAND • Abuja NIGERIA • Skopje NORTH MACEDONIA • Oslo NORWAY • Ngerulmud PALAU • Port Moresby PAPUA NEW GUINEA  
Warsaw POLAND • Brazzaville REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO • Bucharest ROMANIA • Apia SAMOA • Edinburg SCOTLAND • Belgrade SERBIA • Freetown  
SIERRA LEONE • Bratislava SLOVAKIA • Honiara SOLOMON ISLANDS • Pretoria SOUTH AFRICA • Seoul SOUTH KOREA  
Sri Jayawardenepura Kotte SRI LANKA • Bern SWITZERLAND • Papeete TAHITI • Dodoma TANZANIA • Nuku'alofa TONGA • Kyiv UKRAINE  
London UNITED KINGDOM • Washington, D.C. USA • Montevideo URUGUAY • Port Vila VANUATU • Cardiff WALES • Lusaka ZAMBIA • Harare ZIMBABWE