



**CAPITOL  
ministries®**

**JUNE 5, 2023**

VERSE OF THE WEEK ..... 2

*1 Corinthians 6:11*

FROM OUR FOUNDING FATHERS

*Benjamin Franklin* ..... 3

*Samuel Adams* ..... 4

*John Adams* ..... 5

*Patrick Henry* ..... 6

*Thomas Jefferson* ..... 7

*Benjamin Rush* ..... 8

*Zephaniah Swift* ..... 9

*John Witherspoon* ..... 10

ABOUT CAPITOL MINISTRIES ..... 12



POWERED BY LOGOS BIBLE SOFTWARE



FOCUSED ON THE WORD

# Scripture's Clear and Convincing Case Crushes the Same-Sex Marriage Debate



**S**COTUS's recent ruling that overturned *Roe v. Wade* has paved the way for the high court to question the legitimacy of its similarly overreaching decisions. D.C. insiders know that one of those past rulings ripe for being overturned is same-sex marriage.

In response to the vulnerability of the Supreme Court's same-sex marriage decision, the House of Representatives rushed through legislation to make same-sex marriage the law of the land. News stories reported that secular archaeologists are even helped with the cause, suggesting

*Continued next page*

**CURRENT AND FORMER GOVERNORS**

- Mike Dunleavy* **AK**
- Greg Gianforte* **MT**
- Sarah Huckabee Sanders* **AR**
- Sonny Perdue* **GA**
- Rick Perry* **TX**
- Jim Pillen* **NE**
- Tate Reeves* **MS**
- Kim Reynolds* **IA**
- Kevin Stitt* **OK**
- Scott Walker* **WI**
- Glenn Youngkin* **VA**

**SENATORS**

- Marsha Blackburn* **TN**
- Mike Braun* **IN**
- Katie Britt* **AL**
- Ted Budd* **NC**
- Bill Cassidy* **LA**
- Kevin Cramer* **ND**
- Steven Daines* **MT**
- Joni Ernst* **IA**
- William Hagerty* **TN**
- Cindy Hyde-Smith* **MS**
- James Lankford* **OK**
- Markwayne Mullin* **OK**
- Mike Rounds* **SD**
- Tim Scott* **SC**
- John Thune* **SD**

**REPRESENTATIVES**

- Mark Alford* **MO**
- Robert Aderholt* **AL**
- Rick Allen* **GA**
- Don Bacon* **NE**
- Michael Bost* **IL**
- Josh Brecheen* **OK**
- Michael Conaway* **TX**
- Rick Crawford* **AR**
- Jake Ellzey* **TX**
- Ron Estes* **KS**
- Louie Gohmert* **TX**
- Glenn Grothman* **WI**
- Kevin Hern* **OK**
- Richard Hudson* **NC**
- Bill Huizenga* **MI**
- Bill Johnson* **OH**
- Dusty Johnson* **SD**
- Jim Jordan* **OH**
- Doug Lamborn* **CO**
- Nathaniel Moran* **TX**
- Kevin McCarthy* **CA**
- Gary Palmer* **AL**
- August Pfluger* **TX**
- Bill Posey* **FL**
- Cathy McMorris-Rodgers* **WA**
- David Rouzer* **NC**
- John Rutherford* **FL**
- Glenn Thompson* **PA**
- Tim Walberg* **MI**
- Randy Weber* **TX**
- Daniel Webster* **FL**
- Bruce Westerman* **AR**
- Brandon Williams* **NY**
- Roger Williams* **TX**
- Joe Wilson* **SC**
- Rob Wittman* **VA**
- Steve Womack* **AR**
- Rudy Yakym* **IN**
- Ted Yoho* **FL**

**WEEKLY BIBLE STUDIES**

- Governors:** Fridays 9am (EST), Zoom
- Former Cabinet Members / White House Senior Staff:** Fridays 9am (EST), Zoom
- Senate Members:** Tuesdays 8am, rotating offices, hot breakfast served
- House Members:** Thursdays 8am, AG Hearing Rm., Longworth 1302, hot breakfast served

*The views expressed in each Bible Study are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the position of any individual Bible Study sponsor.*



VERSE OF THE WEEK



## 1 Corinthians 6:11

*Such were some of you; but you were washed, but you were sanctified, but you were justified in the name of the Lord Jesus Christ and in the Spirit of our God.*



There is hope for all!  
Jesus Christ came to  
liberate sinners!



that ancient human fossil evidence supports transgenderism! On Dec. 13, 2022, President Joe Biden signed the misnamed “Respect for Marriage Act,” which allowed homosexual marriage in every state in the nation.

But what does the highest authority in the universe have to say about this matter—that authority being God Almighty as revealed in His Word?

Before examining several pertinent biblical passages that provide the irrefutable and convincing clarity negating same-sex marriage, the issue of who, according to the Bible, is qualified to speak authoritatively about the Bible should be addressed. The Scriptures are clear: God sets the standard for those who are approved to teach His Word. Those God-appointed teachers, called overseers, are identifiable by specific characteristics. The existence of these qualities is intended by God to indicate those whom He has appointed as His mouthpieces—men who are set apart by Him to teach, herald, and preach His Word. The Bible is nonambiguous: no one else is qualified to speak for Him.

Shouldn’t we refer to God’s Word to determine who He says is credible to speak for Him?

Too often on the Hill (or in various state capitols across America) non-believing public servants arrange for illegitimate “Bible spokespersons” to proffer testimonies (which amount to their personal opinions) in subcommittees. The same is true on talk shows and various other mediums.

But according to God’s Word, such people do not speak for Him. One of the pertinent passages that contains the authentications of a legitimate spokesman of God is 1 Timothy 3:2. Therein the Apostle Paul instructs his understudy, Timothy, who has just entered his pastorate, how to choose leaders in the Church who possess God’s stamp of approval. Paul states, ***An overseer, then, must be above reproach, the husband of one wife, temperate, prudent, respectable, hospitable, able to teach.***

A legitimate spokesman for God, an overseer (*episkopos* or pastor-teacher, cf. Ephesians 4:11–12) will be one who, among other qualifiers, is the ***husband of one wife***, (literally, “a male who is a one-woman man”). A legitimate spokesperson for God must believe in male/female marriage to begin with! Put plainly, a supposed member of the clergy who does not believe in male-female marriage is not qualified to speak on marriage! First Timothy 3 and Titus 1 serve to filter out illegitimate spokesmen for God and His Word, so don’t listen to what these counterfeit representatives might espouse! Pseudo Christian pastors are not qualified in God’s eyes to speak on the subject! If you know the Word, you will quickly ascertain that their arguments are specious.

I wrote a Bible study on “Nine Characteristics of Tares” (cf. Titus 1:11, 3 John 9–11) that elaborates more extensively on the existence of Satan’s pawns—false teachers—whose singular intent, per the insight of Scripture, is to mislead believers. The Scriptures shout about false teachers—and there are many—who attempt to legitimize same-sex marriage. I am cautioning you to carefully consider the lifestyle characteristics of those who claim to be God’s representatives on homosexuality and



gay marriage. Naïve is the person who listens to the divergent views of false teachers. The Bible is clear and convincing and does not contradict itself on the matter; it provides one viewpoint throughout all its 66 books!

It stands to reason that the biggest threat to a clear and convincing understanding that the Scriptures negate same-sex marriage is not secular dissension. It is clerical duplicity. Don't be fooled. This in-depth Bible study exposes the main passages in the Bible that proponents of homosexuality contort and twist to support same-sex marriage.

One need not look very far into Scripture to learn of God's singular definition of marriage and His subsequent sweeping disapproval of same-sex marriage. To the point, in no way is God's Word pro-LGBTQ. Only a Scripture twister could reason otherwise. Years ago, a legislator challenged me on my understanding of the exclusivity of Scripture regarding this subject. He suggested at a Bible study I was leading in the California Capitol that the Scriptures propounded something different from what he thought was my biased, personal viewpoint. He asked if he could present a Bible study the following week to represent God's supposed approval of same-sex marriage and homosexuality. No such study ever materialized. The fact is, he couldn't produce one.

This Bible study on this issue indicates why.

In my scriptural work-up on same-sex marriage, I provide the LGBTQ community's positions on the various pertinent passages (I think that is only fair). But so doing serves to further uncover their specious arguments.

By way of introducing the perspicuous distinctiveness of God's mind, notice the following: in addition to the narrative of Adam and Eve, who are specifically identified as husband and wife in Genesis 2:24 (cf. 1:27), Proverbs 12:4a underscores God's testimony regarding His design and definition of marriage.

***An excellent wife is the crown of her husband....*** As in English, the Hebrew words used in these ancient manuscripts are unmistakably clear: wife (*ishshah*) means "female," and the word for husband (*baal*) means "male." In one sense, I need not argue further. But Scripture is replete and unswerving in this regard, and this Bible study goes deep.

Don't be fooled; know your Bible on this matter! All societies that digress from male-female marriage do so at their own peril. Same-sex marriage is not God's design. Rather, it is human folly, and it leads to an overall dysfunctional society like we are now experiencing.

Read on, my friends.

Ralph Drollinger

Benjamin Franklin



**“As to Jesus of Nazareth, my opinion of whom you particularly desire, I think the system of morals and His religion as He left them to us, the best the world ever saw or is likely to see.”**

— Benjamin Franklin, signer of the Declaration of Independence, diplomat, printer, scientist, signer of the Constitution, governor of Pennsylvania.


Benjamin Franklin, *Works of Benjamin Franklin*, John Bigelow, editor (New York: G.P. Putnam's Sons, 1904), 185, to Ezra Stiles, March 9, 1790.







Samuel Adams



“The name of the Lord (says the Scripture) is a strong tower; thither the righteous flee and are safe [Proverbs 18:10]. Let us secure His favor and He will lead us through the journey of this life and at length receive us to a better.”

— Samuel Adams, signer of the Declaration of Independence, “Father of the American Revolution,” ratifier of the U.S. Constitution, governor of Massachusetts.

*Letters of Delegates to Congress: August 16, 1776–December 31, 1776*, Paul H. Smith, editor (Washington D.C.: Library of Congress, 1979), Vol. 5, 669–670, Samuel Adams to Elizabeth Adams on December 26, 1776.

## I. OLD TESTAMENT PASSAGES

### A. GENESIS 19:4–13

In this passage, what was the sin of Sodom and Gomorrah?

*Before they lay down, the men of the city, the men of Sodom, surrounded the house, both young and old, all the people from every quarter; <sup>5</sup>and they called to Lot and said to him, “Where are the men who came to you tonight? Bring them out to us that we may have relations with them.” <sup>6</sup>But Lot went out to them at the doorway, and shut the door behind him, <sup>7</sup>and said, “Please, my brothers, do not act wickedly. <sup>8</sup>Now behold, I have two daughters who have not had relations with man; please let me bring them out to you, and do to them whatever you like; only do nothing to these men, inasmuch as they have come under the shelter of my roof.” <sup>9</sup>But they said, “Stand aside.” Furthermore, they said, “This one came in as an alien, and already he is acting like a judge; now we will treat you worse than them.” So they pressed hard against Lot and came near to break the door. <sup>10</sup>But the men reached out their hands and brought Lot into the house with them, and shut the door. <sup>11</sup>They struck the men who were at the doorway of the house with blindness, both small and great, so that they wearied themselves trying to find the doorway. <sup>12</sup>Then the two men said to Lot, “Whom else have you here? A son-in-law, and your sons, and your daughters, and whomever you have in the city, bring them out of the place; <sup>13</sup>for we are about to destroy this place, because*

*their outcry has become so great before the LORD that the LORD has sent us to destroy it.”*

The homosexual “christian community” interpretation expounds that the sin in evidence is *not* sodomy, but rather inhospitality. Proponents claim that the Hebrew word for *have relations* or *know* (*yada*<sup>1</sup>) has “an unknown or ambiguous meaning.” Secondly, sexual activity, they claim, is not indicated in the passage; this is supposedly supported via their referencing of Ezekiel 16:49–50 which will be examined next.

To the interpretive contrary, the word *yada* appears 943 times in the Old Testament and is not ambiguous in meaning: “to gain knowledge or become better acquainted with someone or something” is its meaning. However, keep the following in mind:

**Context strongly indicates that *yada* is used in Genesis as a polite euphemism for sexual intercourse.**

*Yada* is used euphemistically in Genesis 4:17 wherein Scripture states *Cain knew (yada) his wife and she conceived ...* (KJV). To think of this word usage any differently leads to interpretive problems in both chapters 4 and 19. To illustrate, why did Lot plead with them not to act *wickedly* (v. 19:7)? Why did Lot panic, offering sexual substitutes (v. 8)? Is it not somewhat contradictory to attempt to break down another’s *door* (v. 9) in reaction to their *inhospitality*? It is apparent from context that Lot under-



stood well that their advances were not friendly in nature.

Furthermore, the sin of Sodom and Gomorrah brought the following response from God: *And the LORD said, “The outcry of Sodom and Gomorrah is indeed great, and their sin is exceedingly grave”* (18:20). In verse 19:13, God’s angels stated, *“For we are about to destroy this place, because their outcry has become so great before the LORD that the LORD has sent us to destroy it.”* All these references argue against the sin of Sodom being one of inhospitality.

#### B. EZEKIEL 16:49–50

The homosexual community nonetheless cites this passage in support of inhospitality being the sin of Sodom and Gomorrah. But as will be seen, the following passage hinders, not helps, their argument:

*“Behold, this was the guilt of your sister Sodom: she and her daughters had arrogance, abundant food and careless ease, but she did not help the poor and needy. Thus they were haughty and committed abominations before Me. Therefore I removed them when I saw it.”*

Arrogance, slothfulness, and blindness toward the needs of others are certainly evidence of self-centered, sinful behavior worthy of admonishment in any culture. But an additional listed iniquity in this passage is the word *abomination* (*toebah*), which is translated elsewhere from Hebrew to English as “detestable acts.” For this to mean homosexual acts is in clear view

considering Leviticus 18:22, which uses the same word synonymously with homosexual activity:

#### C. LEVITICUS 18:22

*“You shall not lie with a male as one lies with a female; it is an abomination.”*

The word *abomination* is the same Hebrew word used in Ezekiel 16:50 *toebah* wherein homosexual activity is clearly described. *Toebah* is characterized by males lying together. Leviticus 18 and Ezekiel 16 further serve to link, identify, and illuminate the specific sin of Sodom and Gomorrah as being one of homosexuality.

#### D. LEVITICUS 20:13

*“If there is a man who lies with a male as those who lie with a woman, both of them have committed a detestable act; they shall surely be put to death. Their bloodguiltiness is upon them.”*

Notice the phrase *detestable act* is the same Hebrew word as *abomination* (*toebah*).

#### But what about putting homosexuals to death?

The Old Testament (OT) book of Leviticus is God’s manual for Israel—His chosen, set apart people in His old covenant—whom He intended to be His distinguished-from-all-others representative people. He gave them special ceremonies, laws, rituals, dietary restrictions, a personal holiness code, and enforceability to achieve their exclusivity from the practices of the

John Adams



John Adams in a speech to the military in 1798 warned his fellow countrymen stating,

*“We have no government armed with power capable of contending with human passions unbridled by morality and religion... Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate to the government of any other.”*

— John Adams, second president of the United States, signer of the Declaration of Independence, judge, diplomat, one of two signers of the Bill of Rights.

*The Works of John Adams, Second President of the United States: With a Life of the Author* by Charles Francis Adams, volume IX, Boston: Little Brown and Company, 1854.





Patrick Henry



“The great pillars of all government and of social life [are] virtue, morality, and religion. This is the armor, my friend, and this alone, that renders us invincible.”

— Patrick Henry, U.S. Revolutionary War general, legislator, “The Voice of Liberty,” ratifier of the U.S. Constitution, governor of Virginia.

Patrick Henry, *Patrick Henry: Life, Correspondence and Speeches*, William Wirt Henry, editor (New York: Charles Scribner’s Sons, 1891), Vol. II, 592, to Archibald Blair on January 8, 1799.



surrounding Canaanites and Egyptians. These surrounding pagans subscribed to all kinds of sexual deviancies, among other immoral actions. Accordingly, Leviticus 18 and 20 have to do with the impermissibility of various forms of sexual immorality—from incestuous relations to bestiality. All sexual degradations are roundly prohibited and punishable to retain cultural purity and witness. Keep in mind that God had said,

*“For you are a holy people to the LORD your God; the LORD your God has chosen you to be a people for His own possession out of all the peoples who are on the face of the earth”* (Deuteronomy 7:6).

In the New Testament (NT) Church Age, under the new covenant, God *abrogates* (“to abolish by authoritative, official, or formal action”) Israel’s ceremonial laws, dietary regulations, Levitical priesthood, etc., as evidenced in various respective passages such as Acts 10:1–16, Colossians 2:16–17, and 1 Peter 2:9, etc. These elements, like the stoning of homosexuals, are no longer to be practiced, in that God has instituted a new covenant for His people in the age of the Church, per Matthew 26:28, 2 Corinthians 3:6–18, and Hebrews chapters 7 to 10.

What should be focused on today is the divine character behind the rituals and penalties spoken of in Leviticus. The spiritual principles upon which ancient Israel’s rituals were rooted are timeless because they are manifestations of the very nature and essence of the purity and holiness of God.

### Leviticus and Arguing about Homosexuality

Advocates for same-sex marriage have attempted to put words in the mouth of Christian legislators. They too often insinuate that Christians believe stoning homosexuals is proper because Leviticus 20:13b says, *“they shall surely be put to death.”* The response to such conjecturing is quite simple, as follows.

Ask the following question in response: “Do you believe a principle found in Leviticus is applicable outside of the context of ancient Israel?” If the person answers, “Yes,” then say, “I don’t.” If they answer “No,” then say, “I agree.” Either way the argument is over.

You might want to add or clarify, “Is everything in the Bible that was stated in God’s old covenant about ancient Israel repeated in the new covenant about the Church? Certainly not!”

Furthermore, “Putting to death a man who lies with a male” is not a tenet found recurring in the new covenant of the Church Age. However, the NT most certainly does reiterate and uphold the prohibition of homosexuality, but not the corporeal punishment of it.



It is naïve, if not disingenuous, to falsely insinuate that Christian legislators hold to a belief that governments today should stone homosexuals. On the other end of the spectrum of biblical ignorance are those who suggest that homosexuality is no longer prohibited because Israel's holiness code is now obsolete. Both suppositions stem from a biblical illiteracy pertaining to a chronological misunderstanding of ancient Israel and the Church today. Such a lack of knowledge is unfortunately too common among journalists and lawmakers. (Challenge them to begin attending a good Bible study that may lead to their salvation.) First Corinthians 2:14 states in this regard, *But a natural man does not accept the things of the Spirit of God; for they are foolishness to him, and he cannot understand them, because they are spiritually appraised.*

#### E. JUDGES 19:22–23

This parallel passage to Genesis 19 provides further insight into the meaning of God's narrative.

*While they were celebrating, behold, the men of the city, certain worthless fellows, surrounded the house, pounding the door; and they spoke to the owner of the house, the old man, saying, "Bring out the man who came into your house that we may have relations with him." Then the man, the owner of the house, went out to them and said to them, "No, my fellows, please do not act so wickedly; since this man has come into my house, do not commit this act of folly."*

The words *wickedly* (*rawah*) and *act of folly* (*nebalah*) roundly mean "profane actions of immorality; senselessness and disgrace." These words exhibit the wrongfulness of what *have relations* (*yada*) means.

## II. NEW TESTAMENT PASSAGES

The gay community claims that Jesus Himself never condemned homosexuality. Note, however, the following passage:

#### A. MATTHEW 10:14–15


*"Whoever does not receive you, nor heed your words, as you go out of that house or that city, shake the dust off your feet. Truly I say to you, it will be more tolerable for the land of Sodom and Gomorrah in the day of judgment than for that city."*

In this passage Jesus specifically mentions Sodom and Gomorrah as He teaches His disciples. His main point is that people who reject God's messengers—whom He is sending out to be His witnesses—will undergo a stricter judgment than, comparatively speaking, did Sodom and Gomorrah. Jesus is therefore acknowledging the appropriateness of the condemnation of these cities for the reason previously established.

#### B. ROMANS 1:26–27

*For this reason God gave them over to degrading passions; for their women exchanged the natural function for that which is unnatural, and in the same way also the men abandoned*

Thomas Jefferson



*"The practice of morality being necessary for the well being of society, He [God] has taken care to impress its precepts so indelibly on our hearts that they shall not be effaced by the subtleties of our brain. We all agree in the obligation of the moral principles of Jesus and nowhere will they be found delivered in greater purity than in His discourses."*

— Thomas Jefferson, third president of the United States, signer of the Declaration of Independence, diplomat, governor of Virginia, secretary of state.

Thomas Jefferson, *The Writings of Thomas Jefferson*, Albert Ellery Bergh, editor (Washington D.C.: The Thomas Jefferson Memorial Association, 1904), Vol. XII, 315, to James Fishback, September 27, 1809.





Benjamin Rush



*the natural function of the woman and burned in their desire toward one another, men with men committing indecent acts and receiving in their own persons the due penalty of their error.*

Paul's reasoning in Romans is based upon congruity with creation. God distinguishably created male and female (Genesis 1:27), and as mentioned in the introduction, Genesis 2:24 states that marriage is between a man and a woman: *For this reason a man shall leave his father and his mother, and be joined to his wife; and they shall become one flesh.* Literally, *ish* shall be joined to *ishshah*.

**Romans could not be any clearer.**

This passage pronounces that lesbianism and homosexuality are sin. The literal Greek for the English translation *their women exchanged the natural function* is "changed the natural use for the use beside." The homosexual interpretive idea that *natural function* relates to the natural homosexual desire a person already possesses is unfounded here and in the corpus of Scripture. To travel that road is to fight the increasing weight of context and cross references. Nowhere in Scripture is this gay community idea validated; it is *eisegesis* meaning interpreting Scripture based on one's own presuppositions, agendas, and biases. It is a mishandling of the text by people who only want to make a point at the expense of the true meaning. *Exegesis*, on the other hand, means discovering

the true meaning of the passage based on grammar, syntax, the setting, and the original Greek or Hebrew words that were used by the book's author.

Further and importantly, note Paul's choice of the Greek words for *women* and *men*. He does not use *gune* and *anthropos*, which describe the dignity of *women* and *men*. Rather, he uses *theleia* and *arsen*, which are descriptive of sexual gender only. Paul's refusal to ascribe even an implied dignity to those who degenerate into homosexuality is a powerful insight into the mind of God on the subject.

Additionally, Paul uses the Greek word *aschomosune* in this passage, which translated into English is *indecent acts*. He uses the same word in 1 Corinthians 13:5 in opposition to true love when he states, *love does not act (aschomosune) unbecomingly*. This phrase literally means "true love does not seek after its own lust and want."

In a broader context, this section of Romans relates to evidences *indicative* of a point at which God no longer restrains sin—when He withdraws His common grace. Homosexuality, in this passage, is evidence of "God's giving over" people to their own fallen, base ways. When God removes His restraint, a person is said to be *reprobate*. Homosexuality then is a sign of reprobation. A sentence summary of Romans chapter one is this: when people forsake the Author of creation, they inevitably forsake the order of creation.

"By renouncing the Bible, philosophers swing from their moorings upon all moral subjects... It is the only correct map of the human heart that ever has been published."

— Benjamin Rush, signer of the Declaration of Independence, surgeon general of the Continental Army, ratifier of the U.S. Constitution, "Father of American Medicine," treasurer of the U.S. Mint, "Father of Public Schools Under the Constitution."

Benjamin Rush, *Letters of Benjamin Rush*, L. H. Butterfield, editor (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1951), Vol. II, 936, to John Adams, January 23, 1807.





**C. 1 CORINTHIANS 6:9–11**

*Or do you not know that the unrighteous will not inherit the kingdom of God? Do not be deceived; neither fornicators, nor idolaters, nor adulterers, nor effeminate, nor homosexuals, nor thieves, nor the covetous, nor drunkards, nor revilers, nor swindlers, will inherit the kingdom of God. Such were some of you; but you were washed, but you were sanctified, but you were justified in the name of the Lord Jesus Christ and in the Spirit of our God.*

The Greek word here for *homosexuals* (*arsenokoitas*) is a compound noun; *arsen* (*male*) and *koitas* (*sexual intercourse*) (English: “coitus”). The word is unmistakable in its meaning. These two words are individually used repeatedly throughout the NT with their respective meanings. The classic and highly respected work *A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament* states, “*arsenokoitas*: ‘a male who practices homosexuality’ was the use of the word in extra-biblical literature of the time.”<sup>22</sup>

Therefore, for the homosexual “church” to state that the original meaning of this compound Greek noun “has been lost...and that it would appear to have no relationship to consensual homosexual activity” is quite dishonest. Additionally, they mislead, reasoning that the English word “homosexual” does not appear in the original manuscripts of the Bible. Such a statement is true but naïve, as the Greek word *arsenokoitas* does appear, being a much more precise, descriptive, and defini-

tive word of the sin in question than its English counterpart.

**D. 1 TIMOTHY 1:9–10**

*Realizing the fact that law is not made for a righteous person, but for those who are lawless and rebellious, for the ungodly and sinners, for the unholy and profane, for those who kill their fathers or mothers, for murderers and immoral men and homosexuals and kidnappers and liars and perjurers, and whatever else is contrary to sound teaching.*

In this passage, Paul’s point is that the *law* of God is intended to reveal a person’s need for Christ to lead that person to trust in Him. For any evangelist, be it Timothy or any pastor today, to fail to state what sin actually is, is to be unclear to sinners about the Word of God. What is it a person needs to be saved from? Here listed as a sin is the same compound Greek noun *arsenokoitas*—a male who practices homosexuality. This passage and 1 Corinthians 6:11 illustrate the following:

**One needs to be saved from the sin of homosexuality as were some Corinthians.**

**E. 2 PETER 2:6–10**

*And if He condemned the cities of Sodom and Gomorrah to destruction by reducing them to ashes, having made them an example to those who would live ungodly lives thereafter; and if He rescued righteous Lot, oppressed by the sensual conduct of*

Zephaniah Swift



“Jesus Christ has in the clearest manner inculcated those duties which are productive of the highest moral felicity and consistent with all the innocent enjoyments, to which we are impelled by the dictates of nature. Religion, when fairly considered in its genuine simplicity and uncorrupted state, is the source of endless rapture and delight.”

— Zephaniah Swift, U.S. congressman, diplomat, judge, author of America’s First Legal Text (1795).

Zephaniah Swift, *The Correspondent* (Windham: John Byrne, 1793), 135.



John Witherspoon



“[H]e is the best friend to American liberty who is the most sincere and active in promoting true and undefiled religion, and who sets himself with the greatest firmness to bear down profanity and immorality of every kind. Whoever is an avowed enemy of God, I scruple not to call him an enemy to his country.”

— John Witherspoon, signer of the Declaration of Independence, ratifier of the U.S. Constitution, member of the Continental Congress, president of Princeton, reverend.

John Witherspoon, *The Works of the Reverend John Witherspoon* (Philadelphia: William W. Woodward, 1802), Vol. III.

*unprincipled men...then the Lord knows how to rescue the godly from temptation, and to keep the unrighteous under punishment for the day of judgment, and especially those who indulge the flesh in its corrupt desires and despise authority.*

Peter incorporates these OT cities as an historical example to illustrate his point. The pertinent words in this passage, as well as the whole passage, are often overlooked by pro-homosexual interpreters. The late Peter J. Gomes, the former pro-homosexual chaplain of Harvard University, is one such man. For Gomes to have mentioned these passages would have destroyed the thesis of his book.<sup>3</sup>

*Condemned* (*katarkrino*) means, “To pass sentence on because of a crime.” In the construct of the passage, such condemnation is directly related to the *sensual conduct* (*aselgeia*), “wantonness and licentiousness” of the men of *Sodom and Gomorrah*.<sup>4</sup> The ancient use of *aselgeia* was a description of “whatever was disgraceful... that which is characterized by moral impurity or filth.” Lastly *corrupt desires* (*miasmos epithumia*) further define the reasons for God’s condemnation. This Greek phrase means, “A strong desire to defile.”

This is yet another passage (as if it were necessary) that helps to interpret the meaning of the sin of Genesis chapter 19. Considering the specific and descriptive words used here in 2 Peter, to interpret *yada* to mean “to get acquainted and build a friendship” is intellectually impossible.

#### F. JUDE 7

*Just as Sodom and Gomorrah and the cities around them, since they in the same way as these indulged in gross immorality and went after strange flesh, are exhibited as an example in undergoing the punishment of eternal fire.*

Jude underscores more of the same. The homosexual community generally states that the translators of the original Greek New Testament were unclear as to the meaning of these passages and therefore selected their favorite sin(s) to attack. However, the word for *gross immorality* (*ekporneuo*) is a heightened sense of *porneuo*, which means “fornication,” accordingly “excessive fornication.” *Strange flesh* (*heteras*) means “another man.” Lastly, the context of this passage pertains to apostasy—those who seem to be followers of Christ but in actuality are imposters. Jude’s point is comparable to that of Romans: homosexuality is an indication of reprobation, and Sodom and Gomorrah are used repeatedly to illustrate God’s attitude toward reprobation.

#### III. SUMMARY

Homosexuality and same-sex ceremonies are illegitimate in God’s eyes. His Word is repetitive, perspicuous, and staid on the subject. For the individual to engage in these acts or for society to endorse them is to practice sin.

Not only is homosexuality and same-sex marriage voided by God in His Word, but biology as well condemns






homosexuality and same-sex marriage: one cannot be a homosexual evolutionist.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

There is hope for all caught in such a pernicious addiction. Jesus Christ came to liberate sinners! *Such were some of you; but you were washed...* states Paul regarding homosexuals in 1 Corinthians 6:11. Therein is the heart of the minister and the believer toward those who are addicted to whatever sin—to love the sinner, while inalterable on sin. Contextually, some of the Corinthian church members were formerly homosexuals but by God’s grace they found new life in Christ! We need to repent and believe on the Savior today to receive the gift of eternal life, as well as freedom from and power over the bondage of sin. Lastly, note the following:

**It is not the place of the State nor its populace to redefine what God has created. Such is arrogance of the highest order. Man should not define God’s ways; God’s ways should define man’s.**

This matter is serious in the eyes of God in that it puts a society in danger of suffering God’s consequential wrath of sowing and reaping.<sup>5</sup> When a culture becomes permissive by embracing homosexuality and other types of sexual sin, it degrades that nation’s foundational building blocks: biblical marriage between a man and a woman, child bearing, and child rearing. If a nation loses what progenerates a healthy society, eventually that society is lost. Sowing by permitting sexual sins reaps a crumbling culture.



# OAKS IN OFFICE

BIBLICAL ESSAYS FOR POLITICAL LEADERS

*Oaks in Office: Biblical Essays for Political Leaders* will help mold your character as a Christian public servant. These fifty-two Bible studies are selected specifically for discipleship to deeply root you in God’s Word, help you stand strong in the face of adversity, and be bold and unwavering in the faith as you grow in Christlikeness. This beautiful four-volume set is available for purchase at [capmin.org](http://capmin.org).

With each purchase, you may download the book’s fifty-two chapters for free in PDF form so you may use them with your own Bible study group. Study and leader questions are offered at the end of each chapter.



Making Disciples of Jesus Christ in the Political Arena Throughout the World



Capitol Ministries provides Bible studies, evangelism, and discipleship to political leaders. Founded in 1996, we have started ongoing ministries in over forty U.S. state Capitols and dozens of foreign federal Capitols.

Capitol Ministries
Mail Processing Center
Post Office 30994
Phoenix, AZ 85046
661.288.2622
capmin.org

© 2023 Capitol Ministries
All rights reserved.



/capitolministries

ESTABLISHED MINISTRIES

UNITED STATES

- Albany New York
Annapolis Maryland
Atlanta Georgia
Augusta Maine
Austin Texas
Bismarck North Dakota
Boise Idaho
Boston Massachusetts
Denver Colorado
Dover Delaware
Carson City Nevada
Charleston West Virginia
Cheyenne Wyoming
Columbia South Carolina
Columbus Ohio
Concord New Hampshire
Des Moines Iowa
Hartford Connecticut
Harrisburg Pennsylvania
Helena Montana
Honolulu Hawaii
Jefferson City Missouri
Juneau Alaska
Lansing Michigan
Lincoln Nebraska
Little Rock Arkansas
Madison Wisconsin
Montgomery Alabama
Montpelier Vermont
Nashville Tennessee
Oklahoma City Oklahoma
Olympia Washington
Phoenix Arizona
Providence Rhode Island
Raleigh North Carolina
Richmond Virginia
Sacramento California
Salem Oregon
Santa Fe New Mexico
Springfield Illinois
Topeka Kansas
Trenton New Jersey

INTERNATIONAL

- Brussels Belgium
Porto Novo Benin
Brasilia Brazil
Ouagadougou Burkina Faso
Gitega Burundi
Yaounde Cameroon
Bangui Central African Republic
San Jose Costa Rica
Yamoussoukro Cote d'Ivoire
Kinshasa Democratic Republic of the Congo
Quito Ecuador
Malabo Equatorial Guinea
Mbabane Eswatini
Addis Ababa Ethiopia
Brussels European Parliament
Suva Fiji
Libreville Gabon
Accra Ghana
Conakry Guinea
Tegucigalpa Honduras
Rome Italy
Nairobi Kenya
Monrovia Liberia
Antananarivo Madagascar
Lilongwe Malawi
Mexico City Mexico
Chisinau Moldova
Maputo Mozambique
Nauru
Abuja Nigeria
Port Moresby Papua New Guinea
Brazzaville Republic of the Congo
Freetown Sierra Leone
Honiana Solomon Islands
Pretoria South Africa
Dodoma Tanzania
Nuku'alofa Tonga
Kyiv Ukraine
Washington D.C. USA
Montevideo Uruguay
Port Vila Vanuatu
Lusaka Zambia
Harare Zimbabwe

- 1. Yada is used twelve times in the Old Testament with this euphemistic understanding. Euphemism: the substitution of an agreeable or inoffensive word or expression for one that is harsh, indelicate, or otherwise unpleasant or taboo (Merriam-Webster Dictionary).
2. Walter Bauer and F. Wilbur Gingrich, A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament, ed. by William F. Arndt (Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1979), 109.
3. Peter J. Gomes, The Good Book (New York, William and Morrow Company, 1996). In his supposedly comprehensive biblical treatment of the subject, he ignores even mentioning some of the pertinent passages recorded in the Bible and dealt with herein.
4. Helpful to understanding what Jude means is the fact that the angels who came to visit Lot in Genesis 19 came in physical form (ref. 19:3, wherein they ate bread). We can conclude that the angels had angelic beauty in physical form. In this sense then, the Sodomites went after "strange flesh."
5. God's wrath of reaping and sowing is in view here, abandonment wrath is not. Some leading Evangelicals often cite this passage to support their thesis that America is under God's wrath of abandonment. They quip, "If God doesn't judge America He owes Sodom and Gomorrah an apology!" But note that if there had been only a few believers in Sodom and Gomorrah (cf. Genesis 18:22-33) God would have spared those cities. Therefore what happened to Sodom and Gomorrah is not analogous to America: millions of faithful believers reside in America! (See my study on "Is God Judging America Today?" for a thorough exposition on God's wrath of abandonment.)